

CENTRAL OTAGO DISTRICT COUNCIL – PLAN CHANGE 22

SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED BY SUBMITTERS

#	Submitter	Address for Service	Support / Oppose	Decision Requested
1	Craig Grant	craig.grant@otagomuseum.nz	Support	Supports plan change as an initiative that will preserve and enhance unique character of Naseby with negligible impacts on other stakeholders
2	Erna Spijkerbosch	erna@camp.co.nz	Support	Supports Plan Change 22 as notified
3	Richard Garden	PO Box 132 Naseby 9396	Support	Supports Plan Change. Proposal will protect the values of the night sky and support astro tourism, benefiting Naseby's economy
4	Craig and Jenifer Pelvin	pelvinfamily@gmail.com	Support	Supports Plan Change 22 in its entirety. Requests the plan change be implemented
5	Dr Barrie Wills	bjwills2@slingshot.co.nz	Support	<p>Submitter considers that consideration should also be given to protecting daytime skies under the plan change or as a separate process.</p> <p>Notes that satellite interference is another impactor on the clarity of the night sky, with a particular satellite currently the brightest object in the night sky. Considers that proposed constellations of satellites could wreck the night sky regardless of Plan Change 22.</p> <p>Requests that a glossary of Māori terms be added at the start of the section, for example to translate mātauraka Māori.</p> <p>Proximity, direction and strength of security lights need to be considered.</p> <p>Requests that specific reference to street lights be included in the</p>

				<p>rules and standards, for example in relation to light temperature and lamp types.</p> <p>Considers that permitted colour temperature in LIGHT-S3 should be around 2,500°K, per recommendations made when a contract for the replacement of HP sodium lamps was initially arranged. Also questions the inclusion of HP sodium lamps as a permitted lighting type. Requests HP sodium lamps be removed from LIGHT-S4.</p> <p>Notes that Plan Change 22 does not mention the use or misuse of laser pointers. Lasers are used for dark sky orientation, but can cause a nuisance if misused.</p> <p>Requests that all non-urban areas in the district be included in the dark sky mapping.</p>
6	Naseby Vision Incorporated Society	Hilary.allison@xtra.co.nz	Support	Request that Plan Change 22 be approved without variation.
7	Ross McCulloch	ross.mcculloch@breen.co.nz	Support	Considers Plan Change 22 a great proposal for Naseby.
8	Sustainable Tarras Incorporated Society	tarrascommunity@gmail.com	Support	<p>Society supports Plan Change 20 as written and Naseby's desire to become a dark sky accredited community.</p> <p>Submitter supports long term goal to protect unique qualities of dark sky in the region, and the ability of people to observe stars, benefits to sleep and attracting astro tourism.</p> <p>Considers outdoor lighting requirements are reasonable based on recommended beam angles and shades for lighting while still</p>

				achieving desired levels of illumination.
9	Ron Paine	ronpaine@outlook.co.nz	Support	<p>Supports all aspects of Plan Change 22.</p> <p>Submitter considers that provisions for lightspill from trade and private residences (Standards 1 to 5) should be implemented immediately as there is considerable glare from commercial premises and private residences onto roads and footpaths.</p> <p>This creates a safety hazard for road users at night. Considers that cheap security lights should be banned as they cannot be adequately shielded.</p>
10	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	jsutherland@fedfarm.org.nz	Support	<p>Supports the inclusion of Naseby as part of the International Dark Sky Community.</p> <p>Submitter considers that there are large economic possibilities for the township. Submitter requests that agricultural land around the township be exempted from rules at certain times of the year, such as during silage or hay making. These activities depend on climatic conditions and lighting is a health and safety matter.</p> <p>Submitter considers the LIGHT-S6 requirement for 12 lumens per m² overly restrictive. In comparison Plan Change 22 from the Mackenzie District Council permits 25 lux between 0600 and 2200 hours, and 5 lux between 2200 and 0600 hours the next day. The submitter argues that a similar rule would ensure the health and safety of farm workers is protected during night operations.</p> <p>Submitter requests that Council amend LIGHT-S6 to be in line with the standards from Plan Change 22 to the Mackenzie District Plan</p>

				Requests that LIGHT-R1 provide for lights of irrigators and agricultural equipment as a permitted activity, with no restrictions placed on machinery or vehicles.
11	Central Otago Dark Skies Society Incorporated	bosleyd@mtaspiring.school.nz	Support	<p>Submitter supports long term goal to protect unique qualities of dark sky in the region, and the ability of people to observe stars, benefits to sleep and attracting astro tourism.</p> <p>Considers outdoor lighting requirements are reasonable based on recommended beam angles and shades for lighting while still achieving desired levels of illumination.</p> <p>Society supports Plan Change 20 as written and Naseby's desire to become a dark sky accredited community</p>
12	Darryl and Lorraine Spence	benandizzy@gmail.com	Support	Supports Plan Change 22